Global weak solutions for an incompressible, Newtonian fluid interacting with a linearly elastic shell

Daniel Lengeler, Universität Regensburg

Frauenchiemsee, June 15, 2012







∃ → (4 ∃)

Koiter's energy

Koiter's energy for thin, linearly elastic shells (transverse displacements)

$$\mathcal{K}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma} \epsilon \langle C, \sigma(\eta) \otimes \sigma(\eta) \rangle + \frac{\epsilon^3}{3} \langle C, \theta(\eta) \otimes \theta(\eta) \rangle \, dA$$

Shell elasticity tensor $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{4\lambda\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\gamma\delta} + 2\mu(g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta} + g_{\alpha\delta}g_{\beta\gamma})$ Linearisations σ and θ at $\eta = 0$ of the

- change of metric tensor $\Sigma_{lphaeta}(\eta)=rac{1}{2}(g_{lphaeta}(\eta)-g_{lphaeta})$
- change of curvature tensor $\Theta_{lphaeta}(\eta)=h_{lphaeta}(\eta)-h_{lphaeta}$

Koiter's energy

Koiter's energy for thin, linearly elastic shells (transverse displacements)

$$K(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma} \epsilon \langle C, \sigma(\eta) \otimes \sigma(\eta) \rangle + \frac{\epsilon^3}{3} \langle C, \theta(\eta) \otimes \theta(\eta) \rangle \ dA$$

Shell elasticity tensor $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{4\lambda\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\gamma\delta} + 2\mu(g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta} + g_{\alpha\delta}g_{\beta\gamma})$ Linearisations σ and θ at $\eta = 0$ of the

- change of metric tensor $\Sigma_{lphaeta}(\eta) = rac{1}{2}(g_{lphaeta}(\eta) g_{lphaeta})$
- change of curvature tensor $\Theta_{lphaeta}(\eta)=h_{lphaeta}(\eta)-h_{lphaeta}$

Taking into account inertia, the equations of motion take the form

Generalisation of the Kirchhoff-Love plate equation

$$\epsilon \rho_{\mathcal{S}} \partial_t^2 \eta + \operatorname{grad}_{L^2} \mathcal{K}(\eta) = g \text{ in } I \times \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma.$$

Coupled system

Equations of the coupled fluid-shell system

 η

$$\rho_F(\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{div}(2\sigma D \mathbf{u} - \pi \operatorname{id}) + \mathbf{f} \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta(t)}$$
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta(t)}$$

$$\text{liv } \mathbf{u} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta(t)}$$

$$\epsilon
ho_{\mathcal{S}} \partial_t^2 \eta + \operatorname{grad}_{L^2} \mathcal{K}(\eta) = ((-2\sigma D \mathbf{u} + \pi \operatorname{id}) \boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} + g \quad \text{ in } \partial \Omega \setminus \mathbb{I}$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \partial_t \eta \boldsymbol{\nu} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\eta(t)} \setminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$$
$$\mathbf{u} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$$
$$= 0, \nabla \eta = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$$

3

A >

-

Coupled system

Equations of the coupled fluid-shell system

 η

$$\rho_F(\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{div}(2\sigma D \mathbf{u} - \pi \operatorname{id}) + \mathbf{f} \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta(t)}$$

$$\epsilon \rho_{\mathcal{S}} \partial_t^2 \eta + \operatorname{grad}_{L^2} \mathcal{K}(\eta) = ((-2\sigma D\mathbf{u} + \pi \operatorname{id})\boldsymbol{\nu}_t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} + g \quad \text{ in } \partial\Omega \setminus \mathbf{I}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{u} &= \partial_t \eta \boldsymbol{\nu} & \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{\eta(t)} \setminus \mathsf{\Gamma} \\ \mathbf{u} &= 0 & \text{ on } \mathsf{\Gamma} \\ &= 0, \nabla \eta = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \mathsf{\Gamma} \end{split}$$

Some important results:

Beirão da Veiga (2004): Local existence of strong solutions in plate case with added damping of the shell equation in 2d for small data Chambolle, Desjardins, Esteban, Grandmont (2005): Global existence of weak solutions in plate case with added damping Grandmont (2008): Same without damping

Energy estimates

Energy identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\eta(t)}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\partial\Omega\backslash\Gamma} |\partial_t\eta|^2 dA + \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{K}(\eta)$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega_{\eta(t)}} |\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_{\eta(t)}} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega\backslash\Gamma} g \partial_t\eta dA$$

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 →

э

Energy estimates

Energy identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\eta(t)}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\partial\Omega\backslash\Gamma} |\partial_t\eta|^2 dA + \frac{d}{dt} K(\eta)$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega_{\eta(t)}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_{\eta(t)}} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega\backslash\Gamma} g \partial_t\eta dA$$

By Gronwall's lemma:

$$\eta \in W^{1,\infty}(I, L^2(\partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma)) \cap L^{\infty}(I, H^2_0(\partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma))$$
$$\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}(I, L^2(\Omega_{\eta(t)})) \cap L^2(I, H^1(\Omega_{\eta(t)}))$$

Note: $W^{1,\infty}(L^2) \cap L^{\infty}(H^2) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\mu}(C^{0,1-2\mu})$, $0 < \mu < 1/2$

- ∢ ≣ ▶

э

Strategy of proof

Basic strategy:

- Decouple the equations from the moving domain
- Apply the Galerkin method
- Apply a fixed-point theorem of Schauder type (multi-valued)

医下口 医下

Strategy of proof

Basic strategy:

- Decouple the equations from the moving domain
- Apply the Galerkin method
- Apply a fixed-point theorem of Schauder type (multi-valued)

Hard to prove compactness:

- Low regularity of the domain
- Hard to use difference quotients, impossible to use Aubin-Lions
- No Bochner spaces
- No substitute for Bochner spaces of dual space-valued functions
- No concept of time-derivatives
- Sequences of formal dual spaces

Strategy of proof

Basic strategy:

- Decouple the equations from the moving domain
- Apply the Galerkin method
- Apply a fixed-point theorem of Schauder type (multi-valued)

Hard to prove compactness:

- Low regularity of the domain
- Hard to use difference quotients, impossible to use Aubin-Lions
- No Bochner spaces
- No substitute for Bochner spaces of dual space-valued functions
- No concept of time-derivatives
- Sequences of formal dual spaces

Idea: Give an elementary proof of Aubin-Lions theorem

Let $(u_n) \subset L^2(I, H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(I, L^2(\Omega))$ be a bounded sequence of weak solutions of the heat equation.

+

Let $(u_n) \subset L^2(I, H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(I, L^2(\Omega))$ be a bounded sequence of weak solutions of the heat equation.

• Choose $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as a test function:

$$\int_{0}^{L} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx dt = \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(t) \, \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(0) \, \varphi \, dx =: c_{\varphi,n}(t) - c_{\varphi,n}(0)$$

 $\Rightarrow (c_{\varphi,n})$ is bounded in $C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{I})$, uniformly in *n* and $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$

+

Let $(u_n) \subset L^2(I, H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(I, L^2(\Omega))$ be a bounded sequence of weak solutions of the heat equation.

• Choose $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as a test function:

$$\int_{0}^{L} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx dt = \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(t) \, \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(0) \, \varphi \, dx =: c_{\varphi,n}(t) - c_{\varphi,n}(0)$$

 \Rightarrow $(c_{\varphi,n})$ is bounded in $C^{0,rac{1}{2}}(\overline{I})$, uniformly in *n* and $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$

• $u_n(t) \to u(t)$ strongly in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ for t from dense subset $\Rightarrow c_{\varphi,n}(t) \to c_{\varphi}(t)$ for the same t, independently of $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$

+

Let $(u_n) \subset L^2(I, H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(I, L^2(\Omega))$ be a bounded sequence of weak solutions of the heat equation.

• Choose $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as a test function:

$$\int_{0}^{L} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx dt = \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(t) \, \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(0) \, \varphi \, dx =: c_{\varphi,n}(t) - c_{\varphi,n}(0)$$

 \Rightarrow $(c_{\varphi,n})$ is bounded in $C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{I})$, uniformly in *n* and $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$

- $u_n(t) \to u(t)$ strongly in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ for t from dense subset $\Rightarrow c_{\varphi,n}(t) \to c_{\varphi}(t)$ for the same t, independently of $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$
- **3** Arz-Asc argument: $c_{\varphi,n} \to c_{\varphi}$ uniformly, independ. of $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$.

+

Let $(u_n) \subset L^2(I, H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(I, L^2(\Omega))$ be a bounded sequence of weak solutions of the heat equation.

• Choose $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as a test function:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx dt = \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(t) \, \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(0) \, \varphi \, dx =: c_{\varphi,n}(t) - c_{\varphi,n}(0)$$

 \Rightarrow $(c_{\varphi,n})$ is bounded in $C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{I})$, uniformly in *n* and $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$

u_n(t) → u(t) strongly in *H⁻¹(Ω)* for *t* from dense subset ⇒ *c_{φ,n}(t) → c_φ(t)* for the same *t*, independently of ||*φ*||_{*H*¹₀(Ω)} ≤ 1
 Arz-Asc argument: *c_{φ,n} → c_φ* uniformly, independ. of ||*φ*||_{*H*¹₀(Ω)} ≤ 1.
 Ehrling lemma argument:

$$\sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\leq 1}\int\limits_{I}(c_{\varphi,n}-c_{\varphi})\,dt\leq \epsilon\,\|u_n-u\|_{L^2(I,H^1_0(\Omega))}+c(\epsilon)\sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)}\leq 1}\int\limits_{I}(c_{\varphi,n}-c_{\varphi})\,dt.$$

+

Let $(u_n) \subset L^2(I, H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(I, L^2(\Omega))$ be a bounded sequence of weak solutions of the heat equation.

• Choose $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as a test function:

$$\int_{0}^{L} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx dt = \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(t) \, \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(0) \, \varphi \, dx =: c_{\varphi,n}(t) - c_{\varphi,n}(0)$$

 \Rightarrow $(c_{\varphi,n})$ is bounded in $C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{I})$, uniformly in *n* and $\|\varphi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq 1$

- u_n(t) → u(t) strongly in H⁻¹(Ω) for t from dense subset ⇒ c_{φ,n}(t) → c_φ(t) for the same t, independently of ||φ||_{H¹0}(Ω) ≤ 1
 Arz-Asc argument: c_{φ,n} → c_φ uniformly, independ. of ||φ||_{H¹0}(Ω) ≤ 1.
- Ehrling lemma argument:

$$\sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\leq 1}\int_{I}(c_{\varphi,n}-c_{\varphi})\,dt\leq \epsilon\,\|u_{n}-u\|_{L^{2}(I,H^{1}_{0}(\Omega))}+c(\epsilon)\sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)}\leq 1}\int_{I}(c_{\varphi,n}-c_{\varphi})\,dt.$$

We conclude: $u_n \to u$ in $L^2(I, L^2(\Omega))$.

Thank you for your attention!

3 N