Macroscopic electrodynamics of hard superconductors

Graziano Crasta

Univ. Roma I

FBP2012, June 11, 2012

Outline

Superconductivity

Introduction Anisotropic Bean's model Macroscopic electrodynamics

Quasistatic evolution

Variational formulation Magnetic and electric field

Type-II superconductors

The behavior (at $T < T_c$) of a superconducting sample in an external magnetic field \vec{H}_S is characterized by the Ginzburg-Landau parameter κ of the material.





 H_c : critical field (type-I)

 H_{c_1} , H_{c_2} : critical fields (type-II)

- Superconducting phase: thin layer (20-50 nm); no magnetic field in the bulk of the superconductor.
- Mixed state: partial penetration in the bulk.
- Normal conducting phase: full penetration in the bulk.

Bean's model

Bean's model (C.P. Bean, 1962): critical state model for the description of macroscopic electrodynamics for type-II hard superconductors.

Main assumption: there exists a critical current J_c such that:

IJ = J_c in the region penetrated by the magnetic field;
J = 0 otherwise.

Anisotropy of J_c , due to Cu-O planes, structure of defects, etc: exists $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ compact convex containing a neighborhood of 0 s.t.

J ∈ ∂Δ, in the region penetrated by the magnetic field;
J = 0 otherwise.

Macroscopic electrodynamics

PROBLEM: given a superconductor $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ in an external field $\vec{H}_S(t)$, find the internal magnetic field $\vec{H}(x, t)$ and the electric field $\vec{E}(x, t)$.

Faraday's law: curl
$$\vec{E} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial t}$$

• Ampère's law: $\vec{J} = \operatorname{curl} \vec{H}$

• (Modified) Ohm's law: $\vec{E} = \vec{E}(\vec{J})$

Examples of material laws (Ohm's law):

- isotropic conductor: $\vec{E}(\vec{J}) = r \vec{J}$, r = resistivity
- anisotropic conductor: $\vec{E}(\vec{J}) = A \vec{J}$, A = resistivity tensor

• isotropic power-law:
$$\vec{E}(\vec{J}) = c \left(\frac{|\vec{J}|}{J_c}\right)^p \vec{J}$$

 $(\vec{E} \text{ and } \vec{J} \text{ have the same direction}).$

Problem: dependence $\vec{E} = \vec{E}(\vec{J})$ in the Bean's anisotropic model.

In the isotropic case, the constraint $|\vec{J}| \leq J_c$ can be described by a vertical $\vec{E} - \vec{J}$ relation:



The electric field is determined using the additional condition $\vec{E} || \vec{J}$.

Problem: dependence $\vec{E} = \vec{E}(\vec{J})$ in the Bean's anisotropic model.

Start from an anisotropic power law approximation for the dissipation $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{J}$:

$$\vec{E}(\vec{J}) \cdot \vec{J} = \frac{c}{p} \left(\rho_{\Delta}(\vec{J}) \right)^{p}$$

 $(\rho_{\Delta} = \text{gauge function of } \Delta).$

- Deduce the dependence $\vec{E}(\vec{J}) = \frac{c}{p} \left(\rho_{\Delta}(\vec{J}) \right)^{p-1} D \rho_{\Delta}(\vec{J}).$
- ► In the limit as $p \to \infty$: $\vec{E}(\vec{J}) \in \partial I_{\Delta}(\vec{J})$ $\partial I_{\Delta}(\vec{J}) = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } \vec{J} \in \text{interior of } \Delta, \\ \{\lambda D \rho_{\Delta}(\vec{J}); \ \lambda \ge 0\}, & \text{if } \vec{J} \in \partial \Delta, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } \vec{J} \notin \Delta \end{cases}$ subdifferential of the indicator function of Δ .

 \implies gives the constraint $\vec{J} \in \Delta$.

Cylindrical symmetry

$$H = (0,0,h) \qquad H = (0,0,h) \qquad H_S = (0,0,h_S) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H_S = (0,0,h_S) \qquad H_S = (0,0,h_S) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H_S = (0,0,h_S) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H_S = (0,0,h_S) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H_S = (0,0,h_S) \qquad H_S = (0,0,h_S$$

• $Q = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, cylinder with cross-section $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, smooth, simply connected;

• $\vec{H}_{S}(t) = (0, 0, h_{S}(t))$ directed along the axis of the cylinder.

$$\implies \text{By symmetry: } \vec{H}(x,t) = (0,0,h(x_1,x_2,t))$$
$$\implies \vec{J} = \text{curl } \vec{H} = (\partial_{x_2}h, -\partial_{x_1}h, 0)$$

Remark: $\vec{J} \in \Delta \iff Dh \in K$, where $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the rotation of the section $z = 0$ of Δ .

Quasistatic evolution

Time discretization in
$$[0, T]$$
: $\delta t = T/n$, $t_i = i\delta t$,
 $\vec{H}_i = (0, 0, h_i) = \vec{H}(t_i)$, $\vec{E}_i = \vec{E}(t_i)$.

Goal: obtain the variational formulation of the anisotropic Bean's model proposed by Badía - López using Γ -convergence of the power law approximation.

Power law for dissipation:
$$\vec{E}(\vec{J}) \cdot \vec{J} = \frac{c}{p} \left(\rho_{\Delta}(\vec{J}) \right)^{p}$$

Discretized Faraday's law: curl $\vec{E}_{i+1} = -\mu_0 \frac{\vec{H}_{i+1} - \vec{H}_i}{\delta t}$
 \implies admits the variational formulation

$$J_{p}(h) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p} \left[\rho(Dh) \right]^{p} + \frac{\mu_{0}}{2c\delta t} (h - h_{i})^{2}, \qquad h \in h_{s}(t_{i+1}) + W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

i.e., h_{i+1} is the unique minimum point of J_p in $h_s(t_{i+1}) + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. $\rho = \rho_K = \text{gauge function of } K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Convergence

Theorem (G.C. - A. Malusa) $u_p \in h_s(t_{i+1}) + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$: unique minimum point of J_p , $p \ge 1$. $h_{i+1} \in h_s(t_{i+1}) + W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$: unique minimum point of

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} I_{\mathcal{K}}(Du) + (u - h_i)^2, \qquad u \in h_s(t_{i+1}) + W_0^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

Then, for every q > 1, (u_p) converges to h_{i+1} in weak- $W^{1,q}$.

Conclusion: the variational formulation of Bean's law is based on functional J. Given h_i , we have h_{i+1} = unique minimum point of J.

Remark: variational formulation proposed by Badía-López (2002) starting from physical considerations.

Candidate solution

 h_{i+1} : unique minimum point of

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} I_{\mathcal{K}}(Du) + (u - h_i)^2, \qquad u \in h_s(t_{i+1}) + W_0^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

Minkowski distance w.r.t. $K: d(x) = \min_{y \in \partial \Omega} \rho_K^0(x - y)$ $(\rho_K^0 = \text{polar of the gauge function of } K)$ \implies viscosity solution of $\rho(Du) = 1$ in Ω , u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$.

Minkowski distance w.r.t. -K: $d^{-}(x) = \min_{y \in \partial \Omega} \rho^{0}_{-K}(x - y) = \min_{y \in \partial \Omega} \rho^{0}_{K}(y - x)$ \implies viscosity solution of $-\rho(Du) = -1$ in Ω , u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$.

Solution of the minimum problem:

$$h_{i+1}(x) = egin{cases} d(x) + h_s(t_{i+1}), & ext{if } x \in \Omega^+ = \{h_i > d\}, \ -d^-(x) + h_s(t_{i+1}), & ext{if } x \in \Omega^- = \{h_i < -d^-\}, \ h_i(x), & ext{if } x \in \Omega^0 = \Omega \setminus (\Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-). \end{cases}$$

1D heuristics

$$K = [-1, 2]$$
$$J(h) = \int_{\Omega} |h - h_i|^2 + I_K(Dh)$$
$$h = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$



Decomposition of Ω in transport rays

 Ω can be decomposed in transport rays (paths of minimal distance from the boundary):

two possible decompositions, one for d and one for d^- .

Example: $h_i(y) > 0$.



 \implies on each transport ray apply the 1D-heuristics.

Electric field

The variational formulation of the problem permits the computation of the main variable \vec{H} . Unfortunately, in the critical state model the electric field \vec{E} cannot be computed using the current-voltage relation.

How to compute \vec{E} for parallel geometry:

- Badía-López: compute \vec{E} along paths of vortex penetration
- Barrett-Prigozhin: solve a dual variational problem for \vec{E}
- G.C.-Malusa (and also Cannarsa-Cardaliaguet): solve a mass transport problem of Monge-Kantorovich type

Electric field – mass transport approach

Theorem (Dual function)

 \exists a non-negative continuous function v_i such that

$$-\operatorname{div}(v_i D\rho(Dh_i)) = h_{i-1} - h_i \quad in \ \Omega.$$

Interpretation: $w_i = v_i/\delta t$ is the (discretized) dissipated power density, and $E_i = w_i D\rho(Dh_i)$ is the (discretized) electric field. If $\Omega \in C^2$, v_i has an explicit representation in terms of the anisotropic principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$ and the normal distance from cut locus.

Techniques developed in G.C., Malusa: Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 2007, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2009, Calc. Var. 2012 Isotropic case (K = ball): Cannarsa, Cardaliaguet, G.C., Giorgieri: Calc. Var. 2005

Selected references

- Badía, López, Phys. Rev. B 2002, J. Low Temp. Phys. 2003, J. Appl. Phys. 2004: anisotropic Bean's model
- Barrett, Prigozhin, Nonlinear Anal. 2000, Interf. Free Boundaries 2006, M3AS 2010: isotropic Bean's model, variational inequalities
- Brandt et al., Phys. Rev. B 1996 and 2000: numerical and experimental data

Proof of the minimality of $h = h_{i+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial I_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi) &= \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } \xi \in \text{int } \mathcal{K} \\ \{t \ D\rho(\xi); \ t \geq 0\}, & \text{if } \xi \in \partial \mathcal{K} \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } \xi \notin \mathcal{K} \end{cases} \\ v_i \geq 0, \ v_i = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^0 \Longrightarrow 2v_i(x) \ D\rho(Dh(x)) \in \partial I_{\mathcal{K}}(Dh(x)) \end{aligned}$$

For every $w \in h_s(t_{i+1}) + W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$:
 $I_{\mathcal{K}}(Dw(x)) - I_{\mathcal{K}}(Dh(x)) \geq 2v_i(x) \langle D\rho(Dh(x)), \ Dw(x) - Dh(x) \rangle \end{cases}$
 $J(w) - J(h) \geq \int_{\Omega} 2v_i(x) \langle D\rho(Dh(x)), \ Dw(x) - Dh(x) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} (w - h_i)^2 - (h - h_i)^2$
[Nec. cond.] $= \int_{\Omega} 2(w - h)(h_i - h) + (w - h_i)^2 - (h - h_i)^2 \end{aligned}$

$$=\int_{\Omega}^{\infty} (h-w)^2$$

Quasistatic evolution

- ► Start with $h(x,0) = h_0(x) \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathcal{K}}(\Omega)$, $h_0 = h_S(0)$ on $\partial \Omega$.
- ► h_{i+1} = internal magnetic field at time t_{i+1} ⇒ solution of the minimization problem $\min\left\{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu_0}{2} |h - h_i|^2 + \delta t I_K(Dh); h \in h_S(t_{i+1}) + W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)\right\}$
- ▶ By the existence and uniqueness theorem, $h_{i+1}(x) = [h_i(x) \lor (h_S(t_{i+1}) - d^-(x))] \land (h_S(t_{i+1}) + d(x))$
- Explicit formula for monotone external field:
 - 1. h_S monotone increasing in [0, T]: $h_i(x) = h_0(x) \lor (h_S(t_i) - d^-(x))$
 - 2. h_S monotone decreasing in [0, T]:

 $h_i(x) = h_0(x) \wedge (h_S(t_i) + d(x))$

The limit $\delta t \rightarrow 0$

For $\delta t = T/n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, construct h_i as above and define $h^n(x, t) = h_i(x)$, for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$

Assume monotone external field; as $n \to \infty$ ($\delta t \to 0$)

- ► h_S increasing: $h^n(x,t) \rightarrow h(x,t) = h_0(x) \lor (h_S(t) d^-(x))$
- ► h_S decreasing: $h^n(x,t) \rightarrow h(x,t) = h_0(x) \land (h_S(t) + d(x))$

 \implies the internal magnetic field can be explicitly computed if h_S is piecewise monotone.

In a similar way construct the approximated power dissipation $w^n(x, t)$, which converges pointwise to a function w(x, t) \implies electric field: $\vec{E}(x, t) = w(x, t)D\rho(Dh(x, t))$.

Convergence: $h^n \to h$ uniformly in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ $w^n(t) \to w(t)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, $p \ge 1$, uniformly in [0, T].

Example



The section Ω , the constraints set K; Level sets and 3D-plot of the distance d.

Example: plot of h



Hysteresis loop



Hysteresis loop: magnetization $\vec{M} = \langle \vec{H} \rangle - \vec{H}_S$ versus external field \vec{H}_S .

The electric field

$$\vec{E} \in \partial I_{\mathcal{K}}(\vec{J}) \Longrightarrow \exists w(x,t) \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } \vec{E}(x,t) = w(x,t) D\rho(Dh(x,t)).$$

Meaning of w(x, t): the power dissipation density of the sample is

$$\vec{E} \cdot \vec{J} = w(x, t) \langle D\rho(Dh(x, t)), Dh(x, t) \rangle$$

= w(x, t) \rho(Dh(x, t)) = w(x, t)

Construction of w:

in the discretized setting, from the necessary conditions we have unique functions v_i (with explicit integral representation) such that $-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{v_{i+1}}{\delta t} D\rho(Dh_{i+1})\right) = -\frac{h_{i+1} - h_i}{\delta t}$ Set $w_i = v_i/\delta t$ and $w^n(x, t) = w_i(x)$ for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$. Then $w^n \to w$, and $\vec{E} = w D\rho(Dh)$ satisfies Faraday's law.

Example: plot of w



Conclusion and outlook

What we have done...

- Strong mathematical justification of the anisotropic variational formulation of Bean's law suggested by Badía and López.
- Explicit form of both magnetic field and electric field inside the superconductor; explicit computation of the dissipated power density (very important for the stability analysis of the superconducting phase).
- ...and what remains to do:
 - Nonhomogeneous samples (general Finsler metric instead of Minkowski); quasivariational approach by Barrett-Prigozhin 2010, Miranda-Rodrigues-Santos 2012, Rodrigues-Santos 2012.
 - True 3D analysis (no cylindrical symmetry); samples with cavities